

SEATON TOWN COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE MINUTES

8th October 2007

PRESENT: Cllr J Meakin (Chairman)
Cllrs P Burrows, Mrs P Collins, G Jones, Miss S O'Connell,

IN ATTENDANCE: Cllrs Garner, Mrs Semple

APOLOGIES: Cllr M Greenyer

QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC

There were no questions from the public

323/07 APOLOGIES

Apologies were accepted and approved.

324/07 MINUTES

Minutes of the meeting held on 24th September 2007 were approved and signed by the chairman

325/07 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Cllrs Mrs Semple and Garner declared that they were not members of the committee and would therefore not vote on any matters.

326/07 TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING PLANNING APPLICATIONS

- a) **07/2014/OUT erection of dwelling and construction of new access, 11 Everest Drive, Seaton**

Members recommended **Refusal** by 3 votes to 1 and 1 abstention (Cllr Miss O'Connell) on the grounds that:

- a) plot too small, resulting in overdevelopment
- b) out of keeping with the streetscene, proposal resulting in a terraced effect
- c) insufficient details on access but proposals indicate that highway safety would be compromised
- d) loss of amenity space of number 11 Everest Drive due to proposed loss of front/side garden

- b) **07/2614/FUL removal of entrance porch, construction of 1st floor balcony and formation of pavement seating area, Bank House (The Vault Bar), Marine Place, Seaton**

Members were satisfied that their previous objections had been addressed and that the current proposals were more in keeping with the surrounding area. They therefore unanimously recommended **Approval**.

- c) **07/2667/FUL proposed extensions and alterations to roof to include dormer window, 19 Durley Road, Seaton**

Members recommended **Approval** by 4 votes and 1 abstention (Cllr Jones)

- d) **07/2689/TRE reduce overhanging branches of 5 x oak trees, 61 Beer Road, Seaton**

Members were concerned that the owner of the land on which the trees was sited had not been informed of the proposal. The trees were considered to be particularly beautiful and Members believed that such trees should not be destroyed, reduced in size or have works undertaken that would spoil their shape, such as was being proposed. They did not believe that the reasons given were sufficient to justify the request.

Members recommended **Refusal** by 4 votes and 1 abstention (Cllr Burrows)

These Minutes confirmed thisday of2007

Presiding Chairman